

COMENIUS UNIVERSITY IN BRATISLAVA
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND INFORMATICS

FILESYSTEM WITH INTERACTIVE ACCESS
CONTROL FOR LINUX
BACHELOR THESIS

Draft

2024
FEDIR KOVALOV

Draft

COMENIUS UNIVERSITY IN BRATISLAVA
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, PHYSICS AND INFORMATICS

FILESYSTEM WITH INTERACTIVE ACCESS CONTROL FOR LINUX

BACHELOR THESIS

Draft

Study Programme: Computer Science
Field of Study: Computer Science
Department: Department of Computer Science
Supervisor: RNDr. Jaroslav Janáček, PhD.

Bratislava, 2024
Fedir Kovalov

Draft



Univerzita Komenského v Bratislave
Fakulta matematiky, fyziky a informatiky

ZADANIE ZÁVEREČNEJ PRÁCE

Meno a priezvisko študenta: Fedir Kovalov
Študijný program: informatika (Jednoodborové štúdium, bakalársky I. st., denná forma)
Študijný odbor: informatika
Typ záverečnej práce: bakalárska
Jazyk záverečnej práce: anglický
Sekundárny jazyk: slovenský

Názov: Filesystem with Interactive Access Control for Linux
Súborový systém s interaktívnym riadením prístupu pre Linux

Anotácia: Tradičné mechanizmy riadenia prístupu v operačných systémoch povoľujú rovnakú úroveň prístupu všetkým procesom bežiacim v mene toho istého používateľa. Toto typicky umožňuje škodlivým procesom čítať a/alebo modifikovať všetky údaje prístupné používateľovi, ktorý spustil zraniteľnú aplikáciu. Dá sa to riešiť použitím rôznych mechanizmov povinného riadenia prístupu, no tieto sú často náročné na konfiguráciu a zriedkavo sa používajú v bežných scenároch orientovaných na používateľa. Táto práca sa zameriava na návrh a implementáciu vrstvy súborového systému, ktorá rozhodnutie povoliť alebo zakázať prístup k objektu súborového systému konkrétnym procesom deleguje na používateľa.

Cieľ:

- analyzovať problém a navrhnúť riešenie
- implementovať riešenie využitím FUSE
- otestovať riešenie a demonštrovať jeho prínos

Vedúci: RNDr. Jaroslav Janáček, PhD.
Katedra: FMFI.KI - Katedra informatiky
Vedúci katedry: prof. RNDr. Martin Škoviera, PhD.
Dátum zadania: 31.10.2024

Dátum schválenia: 31.10.2024

doc. RNDr. Dana Pardubská, CSc.
garant študijného programu

.....
študent

.....
vedúci práce

Draft



THESIS ASSIGNMENT

Name and Surname: Fedir Kovalov
Study programme: Computer Science (Single degree study, bachelor I. deg., full time form)
Field of Study: Computer Science
Type of Thesis: Bachelor's thesis
Language of Thesis: English
Secondary language: Slovak

Title: Filesystem with Interactive Access Control for Linux

Annotation: Traditional access control mechanisms in operating systems allow the same level of access to all processes running on behalf of the same user. This typically enables malicious processes to read and/or modify all data accessible to the user running a vulnerable application. It can be dealt using various mandatory access control mechanisms, but these are often complicated to configure and are rarely used in common user oriented scenarios. This thesis focuses on design and implementation of a filesystem layer which delegates the decision to allow or deny access to a filesystem object by a specific process to the user.

Aim:

- analyse the problem and design a solution
- implement the solution using the FUSE framework
- test the solution and demonstrate its benefits

Supervisor: RNDr. Jaroslav Janáček, PhD.
Department: FMFI.KI - Department of Computer Science
Head of department: prof. RNDr. Martin Škoviera, PhD.

Assigned: 31.10.2024

Approved: 31.10.2024 doc. RNDr. Dana Pardubská, CSc.
Guarantor of Study Programme

.....
Student

.....
Supervisor

Draft

Abstrakt

Tradičné mechanizmy riadenia prístupu v operačných systémoch povoľujú rovnakú úroveň prístupu všetkým procesom bežiacim v mene toho istého používateľa. Toto typicky umožňuje škodlivým procesom čítať a/alebo modifikovať všetky údaje prístupné používateľovi, ktorý spustil zraniteľnú aplikáciu. Dá sa to riešiť použitím rôznych mechanizmov povinného riadenia prístupu, no tieto sú často náročné na konfiguráciu a zriedkavo sa používajú v bežných scenároch orientovaných na používateľa. Táto práca sa zameriava na návrh a implementáciu vrstvy súborového systému, ktorá rozhodnutie povoliť alebo zakázať prístup k objektu súborového systému konkrétnym procesom deleguje na používateľa.

Kľúčové slová: riadenie prístupu, súborové systémy, FUSE, súhlas používateľa, najmenšie oprávnenie, oprávnenia, udeľovanie oprávnení, riadenie prístupu riadené používateľom.

Draft

Abstract

Traditional access control mechanisms in operating systems allow the same level of access to all processes running on behalf of the same user. This typically enables malicious processes to read and/or modify all data accessible to the user running a vulnerable application. It can be dealt using various mandatory access control mechanisms, but these are often complicated to configure and are rarely used in common user oriented scenarios. This thesis focuses on design and implementation of a filesystem layer which delegates the decision to allow or deny access to a filesystem object by a specific process to the user.

Keywords: access control, filesystems, FUSE, user consent, least-privilege, permissions, permission granting, user-driven access control.

Draft

Contents

Introduction	1
1 Motivation	3
1.1 Limitations of Traditional UNIX File Access Control Policies	3
1.2 Current solutions	4
2 Interactively Controlled File System	9
2.1 Features	9
2.1.1 Access Control Model	9
3 Implementation	11
4 Evaluation	13
4.1 Known Issues	13
Conclusion	15

Draft

Draft

List of Figures

Draft

Draft

List of Tables

Draft

Draft

Introduction

In modern operating systems, access control mechanisms are fundamental to ensuring the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of system resources. These mechanisms dictate how users and processes interact with system objects such as files, directories, and devices. However, traditional access control models, such as the discretionary access control (DAC) employed by Linux and other Unix-like systems, operate under the assumption that all processes running under the same user account should have the same level of access to system resources. While this simplifies user management and permissions, it can introduce significant security risks.

The problem arises when a process or application running under a user's account becomes compromised. In such cases, the malicious code or exploit can leverage the user's existing permissions to access or modify sensitive data, potentially leading to data breaches or other security incidents. This fundamental limitation of traditional access control mechanisms underscores the need for a more granular and dynamic approach to file system access control.

Over the years, various mandatory access control (MAC) mechanisms, such as SELinux (Security-Enhanced Linux) and AppArmor have been developed to address these limitations. These systems enforce access control policies at a more granular level, often based on labels or rules defined by system administrators. While these mechanisms are effective in certain scenarios, they are generally complex to configure and require significant expertise to maintain. As a result, they are rarely adopted in common user-oriented environments, where simplicity and ease of use are paramount.

In this thesis we introduce our approach to file system access control that empowers users to make real-time decisions about which processes or applications should have access to specific file system objects. By integrating an interactive decision-making layer into the file system, this solution aims to bridge the gap between the security benefits of MAC mechanisms and the simplicity required for widespread adoption. The proposed system delegates access control decisions to the user, enabling them to grant or deny access to individual processes or applications on a per-object basis. This approach not only enhances security but also maintains the flexibility and usability that are critical for user-oriented systems.

The rest of this thesis is organised as follows: Chapter 1 provides a review of existing

access control mechanisms and their limitations. Chapter 2 outlines the design objectives, architecture, and the interactive component of the proposed file system layer. Chapter 3 describes the implementation process, including the tools and techniques used to develop the system. Chapter 4 presents experimental results and evaluates the performance and security benefits of the proposed solution. Finally, in Chapter 5 we describe some limitations of the proposed solution, and discuss the potential for further development.

Draft

Chapter 1

Motivation

1.1 Limitations of Traditional UNIX File Access Control Policies

By default, UNIX-like operating systems only provide simplistic Discretionary Access Control (DAC) policies whose objects are files, and subjects are users.

The policy used by traditional UNIX systems is based on the concepts of *file owner*, *group of a file*, and *others*. For each file, the access rights for these three categories can be specified independently using a so-called access mode. The access mode is a bitmask which specifies whether the file owner, the group of the file, and others have read, write, or execute permissions.

Each process has its own *Effective User ID* (EUID), that identifies the user that initiated it. When a process tries to access a file, the kernel checks the access mode of the file, and grants or denies access based on the following rules:

- If the process's effective user ID matches the file owner, the file owner's access mode is used.
- Otherwise if the process belongs to the group of the file, then the group's access mode is used.
- If neither condition holds, others' access modes are applied.

The access mode is stored in the file's inode, and is set by a process with the file owner's user ID using the `chmod` system call. The file owner is the user who created the file, and can be changed using the `chown` system call by a process with the effective user ID of a superuser. The group of a file is set to the group of the file owner when the file is created, and can also be changed using the `chown` system call by a process with the effective user ID of a superuser.

Later, a feature called Access Control Lists (ACL) was introduced to many UNIX-like operating systems and eventually included in the POSIX standard. ACLs provide the ability to control file permissions of specific users, rather than just owner, group and others. Similar to the classic UNIX access control policies, only processes running with the user ID that matches the owner user ID of a file can change its ACLs.

Although this kind of access control solutions has been proven to be helpful in multi-user environments, it is obviously insufficient to protect against an attack performed by a process initiated by the same user.

The fundamental weakness of the traditional UNIX DAC model, and even its extension with ACLs, lies in its reliance on user identity as the primary access control decision point. While effective at separating access between different users, it provides little to no protection within a user's own account. This deficiency is particularly problematic in modern computing environments where a user's processes are increasingly complex and often involve downloaded or third-party code.

This vulnerability stems from the “all or nothing” nature of user ownership. A process running with user's EUID inherits all of user's privileges, treating all files they own as equally accessible. There's no way to restrict a specific process, even one initiated by the user themselves, from accessing certain files or performing certain operations.

These limitations highlight the need for more sophisticated access control mechanisms that go beyond simple user identity and consider the context and trustworthiness of the process attempting to access a resource. Mandatory Access Control (MAC) and sandboxing technologies are emerging solutions aiming to address these shortcomings by introducing finer-grained control over process privileges and resource access. The following sections will explore these alternatives in detail.

1.2 Current solutions

Many Linux OS ship with additional Mandatory Access Control (MAC) mechanisms (e.g. AppArmor, SELinux) that allow to restrict the usage of file system objects by specific programs. Unfortunately, these mechanisms require a considerable amount of knowledge and effort for the user to manage them, which makes them infeasible for most single-user environments.

In Lovyagin et. al. 2020 [6] authors propose and implement a so called FGACFS file system that extends traditional UNIX access control policies with far more sophisticated and granular system. This also includes the ability to restrict access on per-program basis. However, due to the sheer variety of options and configurable parameters, this approach still falls short when it comes to ease of use and user-friendliness.

Additionally, all the above solutions share a significant drawback: they necessitate user intervention to secure files, even when those files are never accessed. For instance, if access to a file system object is denied (allowed) for all programs by default and only allowed (denied) for specific ones, granting (revoking) access for new programs requires users to modify access permissions proactively.

While some solutions offer automatic inheritance or assignment of rules and access control policies, they still need extensive manual configuration. Even if inheriting all access permissions from a default value were practical, installing new programs would always necessitate updating rules to adhere to the principle of least privilege.

Another problem of these solutions, is that their policies are granted forever and the user is never informed about the actual usage of those permissions, which makes them more vulnerable to attacks by proxy. For example, if the program `cat` is allowed to read contents of the file `~/secrets/text.txt`, malicious program may execute `cat ~/secrets/text.txt > ~/stolen-text.txt` command at any time, without any warning and regardless of whether the malicious program has access to `~/secrets/text.txt` or `~/stolen-text.txt`. If the user only granted read permissions to `cat` when they are actually using the program themselves, such attack could likely be avoided.

Another solution to consider, is using containerised software distribution, like Flatpak[2], Snapcraft[4] or AppImage[1]. Those types of package distribution systems either use Linux feature called *namespaces* or leverage MAC mechanisms to isolate software from the rest of the system. Aside from solving common dependency management problems, this approach also allows some capabilities of the distributed software to be restricted, like access to camera, hardware devices, but, most importantly, file system objects.

However, because the developer of the distributed software is responsible for defining the permissions that his own program needs, it often leads to programs having excessive privileges after installation¹ without any notification of the user.

Additionally, it is a responsibility of the software developer to choose the distribution method, and despite containerised software getting more and more popular, there are still plenty of programs that can only be installed using traditional methods, that do not offer any mechanisms for restricting file system access.

Furthermore, some software is impractical to sandbox. For example, because of the FlatPak's design, CLI software has to be run with `flatpak run` command and has to use often long and hard-to-remember package names, which may appear rather cumbersome for most users.

Another, similar solution can be found in the Android operating system. Here, all apps are sandboxed by default. But Android does way more than Flatpak: it adds an

¹It is important to mention, that although this flaw remains unmitigated, the analysis made by Dunlap et al. 2022 [5] shows that most package maintainers actively attempt to define least-privilege application policies.

interactive component to the access control.

When an app need permission to access the shared storage (part of the filesystem, common to all applications), an overlay is displayed, prompting the user for their decision on whether to allow or deny access to user's files. Notably, this approach avoids the problem of granting permissions up front, and always informs the user about the permissions that the app wishes to have.

Furthermore, starting in Android 11, whenever an app requests a permission related to location, microphone, or camera, the user-facing permissions dialogue contains an option called "Only this time". If the user selects this option in the dialogue, the app is granted a temporary one-time permission.[3]

Unfortunately, Android access control system is specific to Android. Also, it inherits the already mentioned drawbacks of containerisation, and only works through special API, thus requiring the software to be redesigned to work with such an access control system.

Finally, in McIntosh et al. 2021 [7] authors propose and implement software called *Ranacco*, which attempts to analyse various system environmental factors (e.g. latest mouse and keyboard activity) and file system operations to detect potentially malicious actions made by processes, in which case it delegates access control decision to the user. This approach avoids the shortcomings of other possible solutions, while remaining easy-to-use. Although this system is more advanced than the one we propose in this thesis, not only is it exclusive to Windows, but it also remains unavailable for the general public.

The key issues with existent solutions, that our the system proposed in this thesis will try to address are as follows:

- Not all solutions assume processes to be malicious until proven (confirmed by the user to be) safe. Quite often access control permissions are either predefined, inferred or assumed.
- Some solutions can only enforce access policies on software that is distributed in a special way. This leaves the file system just as unprotected against all other software.
- Most solutions require passive action from the user besides initial installation (e.g. you have to reconfigure policies all the time). This adds further inconvenience to using such systems.
- Most solutions grant permissions forever, which significantly increases attack surface. Specifically, this opens up possibilities for attacks by proxy.
- Majority of solutions focus on preventing unwanted access by other users, which makes it unsuitable for single-user environments.

- Solutions are either overly complex and not user-friendly, or too simplistic to provide adequate granularity of permissions. This either leads to slower adoption of such systems, or makes them insufficient at protecting user data.

Chapter 2

Interactively Controlled File System

In this section we present the solution developed as a part of this thesis, named *Interactively Controlled File System* (or ICFS for short).

2.1 Features

ICFS is a filesystem layer that gives user direct command over its access control. Instead of relying on static policies or rules, it prompts the user for the access control decision via graphical interface. When a process tries to open a file, an overlay is displayed, and three options are given: to deny, temporarily allow, or forever allow access to a file.

It is user-friendly and trivially easy to use. It does not introduce any new terminology or complex access control management strategies. The graphical interface is intuitive and self-explanatory. ICFS is configured on the fly: as programs request access, the user's decisions are recorded and later reused. There is no need for any configuration besides installation and choosing a directory to control. It operates on the level of individual processes and files, ensuring high granularity.

It is backwards compatible: ICFS overrides the regular system call interface using FUSE framework, which means that any software that wishes to use the files ICFS protects has to respect its policies. Its interactivity combined with the ability to only grant permissions for the lifetime of a specific process makes proxy attacks very difficult to go unnoticed.

2.1.1 Access Control Model

As promised, the access control model of ICFS is trivially simple. It features processes as its subjects, and files as objects. Whenever a process attempts to access a filesystem object, a dialogue is displayed with three options:

Draft

- *Allow*, that will allow the access to the filesystem object for this process and any other process that is started with the same executable.
- *Allow this time*, that will allow the access to the filesystem object for the runtime of the requesting process.
- *Deny*, that will deny all access to the filesystem object.

Chapter 3

Implementation

This chapter describes the software design and architecture, and the way that they help to solve the problem. Importantly, the design elements must have at least some justification in this section.

Draft

Chapter 4

Evaluation

In this chapter presents the method of evaluating the solution is presented, and the found qualities of the solution are discussed.

Specifically this includes:

- „Does the solution actually solve the problem?“
- Interoperability with other software: does using this fs break other programs, like whether it interferes with programs using auxiliary files, usability of terminal programs (**grep** is a particularly nasty one for this specific project).
- Performance and overhead.
- Security considerations.

4.1 Known Issues

This section outlines the known issues with the solution and evaluates their relevancy/severity.

Draft

Conclusion

In conclusion, the overall value and benefits of the solution is discussed(reiterated :)).

Draft

Draft

Bibliography

- [1] Appimage | linux apps that run anywhere.
- [2] Flatpak - the future of application distribution on linux.
- [3] Permissions updates in android 11.
- [4] Snapcraft - snaps are universal linux packages.
- [5] Trevor Dunlap, William Enck, and Bradley Reaves. A study of application sandbox policies in linux. In *Proceedings of the 27th ACM on Symposium on Access Control Models and Technologies, SACMAT '22*, page 19–30, New York, NY, USA, 2022. Association for Computing Machinery.
- [6] Nikita Yu. Lovyagin, George A. Chernishev, Kirill K. Smirnov, and Roman Yu. Dayneko. Fgacfs: A fine-grained access control for *nix userspace file system. *Computers & Security*, 88:101632, 2020.
- [7] Timothy McIntosh, A.S.M. Kayes, Yi-Ping Phoebe Chen, Alex Ng, and Paul Waters. Dynamic user-centric access control for detection of ransomware attacks. *Computers & Security*, 111:102461, 2021.

Draft